

REMARKS

The Title has been replaced by a new Title, and a revised Abstract has been provided, both consistent with the Examiner's suggestions, with each believed to more accurately represent the invention.

Claim 1 has been amended to correct identified informalities that somehow occurred in the printing of the Claim in Applicants' Response to Election/Restriction Requirement of July 28, 2008, to limit the definitions of R₁, R₄, R₅, R₇, R₉ and R₂₇, as enabled by the Specification, and delete definitions for R₁₀, R₁₁, R₁₂, R₁₃, R₁₄, R₁₅ and R₂₄, as no longer necessary as a result of the limitations to the other variables, to make grammatical and stylistic changes for clarity.

Claim 2 has been amended to make minor stylistic changes for clarity.

Claim 3 has been amended to change wording, as suggested by the Examiner, for clarity.

And Claims 4-15 have been withdrawn by the Examiner, as of November 7, 2008, as a result of Applicants' election, with traverse, of July 28, 2008, to initially prosecute Claims 1-3 (Group III), with Applicants retaining the right to deal with the content of these Claims and other material in the Specification in the future, and subject to rejoinder of process Claim 7, under 37CFR1.104, upon allowance of the compound Claims.

No new matter has been added to any of currently-pending Claims 1-15 (with Claims 4-15 at least initially withdrawn by the Examiner, as explained above) as a result of any of these changes, and, therefore, favorable reconsideration of this Application is respectfully requested.

A new title of the Application was required, as the Examiner found the title of the invention not descriptive, and the abstract of the disclosure was objected to as too vague.

The new Title and Abstract are believed to fully satisfy the Examiner's requirement and objection, respectively, and the requirement and objection are respectively requested withdrawn,

Claim 1 was objected to over printing informalities with the words "independently" and "to" in various places in the Claim presented on July 28, 2008, and Claims 1 and 3 were rejected under 35USC112, second paragraph, over the use of "association" as unclear, and the ranges of R₁₀₋₂₃ and R₁₀₋₁₉ as indefinite.

As the current printing and various amendments to Claims 1 and 3 presented herewith are believed to satisfy the Examiner's objection and rejection, reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection and rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 1 and 3 have been rejected under 35USC112, first paragraph, as the Specification was determined to be non-enabling.

The limitations to the Claims are believed to overcome this rejection, which Applicants respectfully request be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Claims 1-3 have been provisionally rejected on obviousness double patenting grounds as unpatentable over Claims 9 and 10 of copending Application 12/064068.

As the identified Claims have not been allowed, and with the Examiner's understanding, Applicants will deal with the provisional rejection upon the

independent allowance of the respective Applications.


Reconsideration and a stay of this rejection is respectfully requested.

SUMMARY

The Examiner's requirements, objections and rejections having been addressed, and the Claims now believed to be in condition for allowance (subject to a resolution of the double-patenting rejection), such favorable action is earnestly solicited, with an early conditional Notice of Allowance being issued. If any remaining matters need to be resolved, however, Applicants respectfully request a telephone interview (the undersigned attorney may be contacted at the telephone number set forth below) with the Examiner prior to any adverse action being issued by the Office in response to these arguments, in order to facilitate allowance of the pending Claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 9, 2009

By 
Richard A. Elder
Reg. No. 30,255
HOXIE & ASSOCIATES LLC
75 Main Street, Suite 301
Millburn, New Jersey 07041
(973) 912-5232